UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,264	02/06/2004	Fumihiro Yamaguchi	248606US0	4447	•
	7590 07/30/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	1771		
					1
		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/30/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/772,264	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	ay 2007.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,264

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 8, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- The Amendment filed on April 12, 2007, has been entered. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended.
 Claims 1 10 are pending. Claim 8 is withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
- 3. The 35 USC 102/103 rejection based on Yoneda et al. (2003/0022575 A1) is withdrawn since Yoneda et al. fails to teach that the second find is less than or equal to the size of the first but greater than 1/1.76 the size of the first fiber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure fails to provide support for the limitation for the limitation that is both less than or equal to microfiber A and microfiber B is greater than 1/1.76 of the size of microfiber A. It is noted that the ratio of the fibers used in example 1 has a ratio of 1/1.76. However, this example does not provide a teaching that this is the

desired minimum size ratio that microfiber B can be. Nor is there any suggestion that the ratio of the fiber sizes is important to the invention other than the relative comparison that microfiber A is larger than or equal to the size of microfiber B. While the disclosure discusses that microfiber B is equal to or less than the size of microfiber A and that microfiber A is preferably less than 0.5 dtex and microfiber B is preferably between 0.001 to 0.3 dtex (specification, page 6), the disclosure provides no clear teaching for the claimed range and that microfiber B must be greater than 1/1.76 microfiber A. And further, the disclosure provides any teaching, other than the fact that the fiber has a preferred lower limit of 0.001 dtex, that the invention requires a minimum limit for the size of microfiber B. Therefore, this limitation is considered new matter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jlb July 22, 2007 JENNA BEFUMO PRIMARY EXAMINED